STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS BUREAU OF PROFESSIONAL LICENSING BOARD OF PHARMACY DISCIPLINARY SUBCOMMITTEE

In the Matter of

HIEN DIEN HA, R.PH. License No. 53-02-032761.

File No. 53-18-149477

Respondent.

ORDER OF SUMMARY SUSPENSION

The Department filed an Administrative Complaint against Respondent as provided by the Public Health Code. MCL 333.1101 et seg, the rules promulgated under the Code, and the Administrative Procedures Act, MCL 24.201 et seq.

After careful consideration and after consultation with the Chairperson of the Board of Pharmacy pursuant to MCL 333.16233(5), the Department finds that the public health, safety, and welfare requires emergency action.

Therefore, IT IS ORDERED that Respondent's license to practice as a pharmacist is SUMMARILY SUSPENDED, commencing the date this Order is served.

MCL 333.7311(6) provides that a controlled substance license is automatically void if a licensee's license to practice is suspended or revoked under Article 15.

Under Mich Admin Code, R 792.10702, Respondent may petition for the dissolution of this Order by filing a document clearly titled Petition for Dissolution of Summary Suspension with the Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs, Bureau of Professional Licensing, P.O. Box 30670, Lansing, MI 48909.

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF

LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS

Dated: 4 / / /, 2018

Cheryl Wykoff Pezon, Director By:

Bureau of Professional Licensing

Order of Summary Suspension File Number: 53-18-149477

Page 1 of 1

STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS BUREAU OF PROFESSIONAL LICENSING BOARD OF PHARMACY DISCIPLINARY SUBCOMMITTEE

In the Matter of

HIEN DIEN HA, R.PH. License No. 53-02-032761,

File No. 53-18-149477

Respondent.

ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLAINT

The Michigan Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs by Cheryl Wykoff Pezon, Director, Bureau of Professional Licensing, complains against Respondent Hien Dien Ha, R.Ph. as follows:

- The Michigan Board of Pharmacy is an administrative agency established by the Public Health Code, MCL 333.1101 et seq. The Board's Disciplinary Subcommittee is empowered to discipline licensees for Code violations.
- 2. The Board administers the controlled substance provisions in Article 7 of the Code, MCL 333.7101 .7545, and is empowered to discipline licensees for Article 7 violations under MCL 333.7311.
 - 3. MCL 333.7333(1) provides, in pertinent part:

"[G]ood faith" means the prescribing or dispensing of a controlled substance by a practitioner . . . to or for an individual Application of good faith to a pharmacist means the dispensing of a controlled substance pursuant to a prescriber's order which, in the professional judgment of the pharmacist, is lawful. The pharmacist shall be guided by nationally accepted professional standards including, but not limited to, all of the following, in making the judgment:

(a) Lack of consistency in the doctor-patient relationship.

- (b) Frequency of prescriptions for the same drug by 1 prescriber for larger numbers of patients.
- (c) Quantities beyond those normally prescribed for the same drug.
- (d) Unusual dosages.
- (e) Unusual geographic distances between patient, pharmacist, and prescriber.
 - 4. Mich Admin Code, R 338.490(2) provides:

A pharmacist shall not fill a prescription order if, in the pharmacist's professional judgment, any of the following provisions apply:

- (a) The prescription appears to be improperly written.
- (b) The prescription is susceptible to more than 1 interpretation.
- (c) The pharmacist has reason to believe that the prescription could cause harm to the patient.
- (d) The pharmacist has reason to believe that the prescription will be used for other than legitimate medical purposes.
- 5. MCL 333.7311(6) provides that a controlled substance license is automatically void if a licensee's license to practice is suspended or revoked under Article 15.
- 6. Respondent is a Michigan-licensed pharmacist and holds a current controlled substance license. He is a part-owner of and pharmacist-in-charge (PIC) of Carpenter Pharmacy (Carpenter) in Hamtramck, Michigan.¹
- 7. As Carpenter's PIC, Respondent was responsible to supervise its practice per MCL 333.17748.
- 8. After consultation with the Board Chairperson, the Department found that the public health, safety, and welfare requires emergency action. Therefore, pursuant to MCL 333.16233(5), the Department summarily suspended Respondent's pharmacist

¹The Department has also filed an Administrative Complaint against Carpenter for the conduct alleged here. *Carpenter Pharmacy*, No. 53-18-149477.

license, effective on the date the accompanying Order of Summary Suspension was served.

- 9. Alprazolam is a benzodiazepine schedule 4 controlled substance. Alprazolam is a commonly abused and diverted drug, particularly in its 1 mg and 2 mg dosages.
- 10. Carisoprodol is a muscle relaxant and a schedule 4 controlled substance. Carisoprodol has significant potential for abuse, dependence, overdose, and withdrawal, particularly when used in conjunction with opioids and benzodiazepines.
- 11. Promethazine with codeine syrup is a schedule 5 controlled substance prescribed for treating cough and related upper respiratory symptoms. Promethazine with codeine syrup is rarely indicated for any other health condition and is particularly ill-suited for long-term treatment of chronic pain. Promethazine with codeine syrup is a highly sought-after drug of abuse, and is known by the street names "lean," "purple drank," and "sizzurp."
- 12. Gabapentin, a prescription medication, is used as an anticonvulsant and a peripheral neuropathy agent. Gabapentin is known to be abused and diverted.
- 13. Hydrocodone, and combination products including hydrocodone are commonly abused and diverted opioid schedule 2 controlled substances.
- 14. Oxycodone, and combination products including oxycodone, are opioid schedule 2 controlled substances and are commonly abused and diverted.
- 15. Oxymorphone, a schedule 2 controlled substance, is an opioid used to treat pain, and is a commonly abused and diverted drug. Oxymorphone 40 mg is the most commonly abused and diverted strength of oxymorphone.

16. Zolpidem (e.g., Ambien), a schedule 4 controlled substance, is a non-benzodiazepine sedative used to treat sleep disorders, and is commonly abused and diverted.

17. When used in combination, opioids, carisoprodol, and benzodiazepines can produce a feeling of euphoria. These combinations are highly desired for diversion and abuse and have the street name "Holy Trinity."

18. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) guidelines for opioid prescribing direct providers to avoid prescribing opioid pain medication and benzodiazepines concurrently whenever possible.

19. The CDC's guidelines for opioid prescribing direct providers to use "extra precautions" when prescribing opioids with a daily morphine milligram equivalent (MME) of 50 or more. Those guidelines also direct providers to "avoid or carefully justify" increasing dosage to a daily MME of 90 or more.

20. The Department reviewed data from the Michigan Automated Prescription System (MAPS), the State of Michigan's prescription monitoring program, which gathers data regarding controlled substances dispensed in Michigan. Though the data showed Carpenter was not a high-volume dispenser of controlled substances overall, the Department discovered that Carpenter was among the highest-ranked dispensers of the following commonly abused and diverted controlled substances among all Michigan dispensers during 2015, 2016, and each quarter of 2017:

Drug	2015 Rank	2016 Rank	2017 Rank Q1	2017 Rank Q2	2017 Rank Q3	2017 Rank Q4
Alprazolam 1 mg	5	4	6	6	8	18
Carisoprodol 350 mg	28	6	2	1	1	3
Oxycodone 30 mg	4	5	17	22	15	15
Oxymorphone 40 mg	64	50	42	31	19	8
Promethazine with Codeine	4	3	3	1	1	2

21. During the following periods, Carpenter dispensed prescriptions for the following commonly abused and diverted controlled substances in the following quantities:

	Drug	2015	2016	2017
(a)	Alprazolam 1 mg	2,201 (17.27%)	1,988 (16.22%)	1,453 (13.58%)
(b)	Hydrocodone-apap 7.5-325 mg	1,760 (13.81%)	1,634 (13.33%)	1,274 (11.91%)
(c)	Hydrocodone-apap 10-325 mg	1,507 (11.83%)	1,722 (14.05%)	1,459 (13.64%)
(d)	Promethazine with Codeine	1,230 (9.65%)	1,217 (9.93%)	1,102 (10.30%)
(e)	Oxycodone 30 mg	1,092 (8.57%)	842 (6.87%)	660 (6.17%)
(f)	Carisoprodol 350 mg	466 (3.66%)	620 (5.06%)	590 (5.52%)
(g)	Total, (a) - (f)	8,256 (64.78%)	8,023 (65.44%)	6,538 (61.11%)
(h)	Total CS prescriptions	12,744	12,260	10,698

Pharmacy Inspection and Operations

- 22. On April 4, 2018, the Department inspected Carpenter's place of business and discovered the following violations of rules governing the practice of pharmacy:
 - a. Carpenter was missing required reference materials.
 - b. Carpenter's sink did not have hot water.
 - c. Expired medications were found on the shelves.
 - d. Medication was repackaged with inaccurate expiration dates.

- e. Respondent did not have his license posted.
- 23. On April 6 and April 12, 2018, Department investigators interviewed Respondent regarding the findings from the April 4, 2018 inspection and Carpenter's overall practice of pharmacy.
- 24. Respondent indicated he is the primary pharmacist at Carpenter, only occasionally having other pharmacists fill in when he is on vacation.
- 25. Respondent stated he checks MAPS when dispensing oxycodone, oxymorphone, and hydrocodone-acetaminophen. Respondent occasionally checks MAPS for other controlled substance prescriptions.
- 26. Respondent indicated that if he reviews MAPS and finds that a patient is prescribed controlled substances by multiple prescribers, he will talk to the patient.
- 27. Respondent stated he reviews MAPS to see if a patient is using another pharmacy. He further stated he does not review how many prescribers a patient is receiving prescriptions from, MME data, or how a patient is paying for prescriptions. Respondent also indicated he does not review MAPS data for patterns, such as dosing and duration of treatment. Respondent later contradicted his statement about reviewing MMEs, stating that he does review MMEs when reviewing MAPS data.
- 28. Respondent acknowledged he was familiar with the CDC guidelines for opioid prescribing, though he does not question prescribers about doses prescribed.

Red Flags for Diversion

29. The Department's investigator informed Respondent that Carpenter dispensed approximately 472 pints of promethazine with codeine syrup in 2017, which is

about nine pints per week. Respondent acknowledged that Carpenter had almost 18 pints

in stock when the inspection was conducted. Respondent was unaware promethazine

with codeine syrup is indicated for short-term, temporary relief. Respondent was also not

aware promethazine with codeine syrup was a diverted and abused controlled substance.

30. The Department's investigator later completed an audit worksheet

for controlled substances at Carpenter which indicated a significant shortage of

promethazine with codeine syrup, approximately 39 pints.

31. Respondent stated that Carpenter dispenses a significant amount of

gabapentin medication and did not realize that gabapentin was an abused and diverted

medication. Respondent was also unaware gabapentin was set to become a controlled

substance in Michigan. From January 1, 2018 through March 31, 2018, Carpenter

dispensed 2,880 capsules of gabapentin 600 mg and 3,390 capsules of gabapentin 800

mg. During the inspection of Carpenter, the Department's investigator found that

Carpenter had over 1,500 capsules of gabapentin 600 mg in stock and over 1,500

capsules of gabapentin 800 mg in stock.

32. Respondent stated he was aware many of the past prescribers of

prescriptions dispensed by Carpenter have had Administrative Complaints and/or were

disciplined by boards in the state of Michigan. Respondent stated that when he reviews

MAPS, he does not look for a pattern that patients had a history of being treated by

physicians who had been disciplined.

33. Respondent indicated that he signs the daily attest statement logs

but does not review the log to see if there are any patterns of prescribers.

34. MAPS data revealed that many of Carpenter's patients traveled to Carpenter from outside Hamtramck. Respondent had no explanation for the traveling patients, other than they liked the services and were long-time customers. Respondent indicated he does not document conversations with patients regarding why they travel.

Pattern Prescriptions and Traveling Patients

- 35. Respondent indicated that a caregiver would bring patients into Carpenter in a group. All of these patients were being treated by Dr. "B," and the prescriptions being filled were all for either oxycodone 30 mg or oxymorphone 40 mg.
- 36. A review of MAPS data showed that Carpenter dispensed a total of 63 prescriptions written by Dr. "B," for 12 different patients, from the issue date of July 7, 2017 to March 28, 2018. The prescriptions were all for oxycodone 30 mg (3%) and oxymorphone 40 mg (97%). The 63 prescriptions were all paid for in cash. Several of these patients traveled significant distances to fill their prescriptions at Carpenter.
- 37. The Department reviewed Carpenter's MAPS data and found patients were filling prescriptions from several other prescribers who appeared to be engaging in pattern prescribing. Among those prescribers were:
 - a. Dr. Asm Ahmed, who almost exclusively prescribes promethazine with codeine syrup and carisoprodol. The Department summarily suspended Dr. Ahmed's license to practice medicine and Dr. Ahmed has been indicted for health care fraud and prescribing unnecessary controlled substances for cash.
 - b. Dr. Obioma Agomuoh, who mainly prescribes oxycodone 30 mg. Dr. Agomuoh was indicted in 2016 for health care fraud and unlawful distribution of schedule II to V controlled substances.
 - c. Dr "R," who repeatedly prescribes oxycodone and oxymorphone.
 - d. Prescriber "M," who repeatedly prescribes oxycodone 30 mg and oxymorphone 40 mg.

e. Dr. "K," who repeatedly prescribes oxycodone 30 mg.

Several of these prescribers' patients filling prescriptions at Carpenter were listed in the State of Michigan's Offender Tracking Information System (OTIS) as having controlled substance-related convictions, among others.

Concerns Regarding Fraudulent Activity

- 38. A review of MAPS data indicated that patients filling controlled substance prescriptions at Carpenter paid for 11.68% of these prescriptions in cash in 2015, 14.10% in 2016, 16.94% in 2017, and 14.70% from January 1, 2018 through March 28, 2018. These rates are higher than the state average of approximately 10% and there were significant increases in the percentage of controlled substance prescriptions paid for in cash since 2015.
- 39. Further review revealed that carisoprodol 350 mg, oxycodone 30 mg, oxymorphone 40 mg, and promethazine with codeine syrup made up 84% of the controlled substance prescriptions paid for in cash at Carpenter in 2017 and 80% from January 1, 2018 through March 28, 2018. Respondent stated that cash payments are higher for these controlled substances because insurance stopped paying for oxymorphone and promethazine with codeine syrup. However, based on a review of MAPS data for Carpenter, insurance does pay for oxymorphone and promethazine with codeine syrup. Paying for prescriptions in cash can be indicative of receiving medications for illegitimate purposes.
- 40. Respondent provided the cash price for eight ounces (240 milliliters) of promethazine with codeine syrup, sixty tablets of oxymorphone 40 mg, and ninety tablets of oxycodone 30 mg. Using this information and Carpenter's controlled substance

invoices, the Department calculated Carpenter's profit margins for these controlled substances when paid for in cash:

Drug	Cost	Retail	Profit	Mark Up
Promethazine with Codeine Syrup (8 ounces)	\$3.52	\$60.00	\$56.48	1,605%
Oxymorphone 40 mg (60 tablets)	\$536.40	\$1,080.00	\$543.60	101%
Oxycodone 30 mg (90 tablets)	\$26.41	\$630.00	\$603.59	2,285%

- 41. Applying these profit margins to MAPS data indicating the units of each controlled substance dispensed at Carpenter and paid for in cash between January 1, 2017 and March 28, 2018, Carpenter realized gross profits during the period of \$108,735.55 for dispensing oxycodone 30 mg tablets, \$135,428.88 for dispensing oxymorphone 40 mg tablets, and \$46,727.76 for dispensing promethazine with codeine syrup, for total gross profits of approximately \$290,000.00 for these three controlled substances.
- 42. During the April 4, 2018 inspection, the Department's investigator found approximately 38 labels for various inhalers in a drawer and the actual product was not attached. The Department's investigator requested copies of several of these labels, however the copies were never provided to the investigator.² Collections of pre-printed labels not filled with the actual inhaler product is a red flag for fraudulent insurance billings.

Specific Patient Examples

43. The Department's investigator questioned Respondent regarding MAPS data for 11 patients to whom Carpenter dispensed prescriptions during the review

² The Department acknowledges Carpenter's copier was not working the day of the inspection. When the investigator called the next day to obtain copies of the labels, the investigator was informed the labels and copies had been shredded.

period of March 30, 2013 through March 30, 2018. All of those patients repeatedly filled prescriptions for commonly abused and diverted controlled substances at Carpenter during that period:

a. Patient LB³ filled numerous controlled substance prescriptions at Carpenter, including prescriptions for oxycodone, oxymorphone, promethazine with codeine, alprazolam, and carisoprodol. In several instances, two or more prescriptions were filled at Carpenter on the same day. Patient LB filled multiple opioid prescriptions carrying high MMEs, commonly 135.00 or 240.00, from several different prescribers.

When confronted with the distance patient LB was traveling to Carpenter to fill prescriptions, Respondent did not have an answer. Patient LB received several high MME prescriptions from Dr. "B;" Respondent indicated he never discussed the high MMEs with Dr. "B."

- b. Patient DB filled several prescriptions for oxymorphone 40 and oxycodone 30 mg written by Dr. "B." carrying high MMEs. Respondent stated he did not know why patient DB was paying cash at Carpenter when he was using insurance at another pharmacy. Patient DB received several high MME prescriptions from Dr. "B;" Respondent indicated he did not know why patient DB was receiving these high-MME prescriptions.
- c. <u>Patient GC</u> filled numerous prescriptions for hydrocodone-acetaminophen and promethazine with codeine at Carpenter throughout the review period, from several prescribers. Several times, these prescriptions were filled on the same day. Respondent stated he was unaware why patient GC was receiving treatment from so many prescribers. Respondent could not explain why patient GC needed large quantities of promethazine with codeine for such a long time.
- d. <u>Patient AD</u> repeatedly filled prescriptions for oxycodone 30 mg from several different providers at Carpenter which carried MMEs of 90.00 to 135.00. When confronted with the distance patient AD was traveling to Carpenter to fill prescriptions, Respondent did not have an answer. Respondent was aware patient AD had seen multiple prescribers in the past two years.
- e. <u>Patient CG</u> repeatedly filled promethazine with codeine prescriptions at Carpenter throughout the review period. Patient CG consistently paid cash for these prescriptions. In between filling promethazine with codeine prescriptions at Carpenter, patient CG was filling prescriptions for oxycodone 30 mg and/or oxymorphone 40 mg, mostly at one other pharmacy.

³ Patients are identified by their initials to protect their identities.

When confronted with patient CG's traveling, Respondent was not sure why patient CG was traveling from home in Macomb to a prescriber in Bloomfield to Carpenter in Hamtramck. Respondent could not explain why patient CG was paying cash at Carpenter and using insurance at another pharmacy, or why patient CG was using multiple prescribers and multiple pharmacies. Last, Respondent could not explain why patient CG was receiving a pint of promethazine with codeine for such a long period of time.

- f. Patient CH filled prescriptions for alprazolam, oxymorphone, oxycodone, and hydrocodone-acetaminophen over the review period, often filling combinations of these medications on the same day. Respondent could not explain why patient CH was receiving prescriptions from multiple prescribers. Respondent did not know the diagnosis for the long-term use and large quantity of promethazine with codeine.
- g. Patient WH filled prescriptions for carisoprodol, oxycodone, hydrocodone-acetaminophen at Carpenter throughout the review period, repeatedly filling combinations on the same day. When filled on the same day, the oxycodone and hydrocodone-acetaminophen prescriptions carried a total daily MME of 220.00. Patient WH also filled several prescriptions for promethazine with codeine at Carpenter. Respondent could not explain the multiple prescribers or the high MMEs for patient WH.
- h. Patient FJ filled multiple prescriptions for oxycodone, oxycodone-acetaminophen, hydrocodone-acetaminophen, and diazepam at Carpenter over the review period. Several of patient FJ's opioid prescriptions carried high daily MMEs. When asked about distances patient FJ was traveling, Respondent could not explain why patient WH was traveling from home in Southfield to the prescriber in Troy to Carpenter in Hamtramck. Respondent did not know why patient FJ was receiving prescriptions with such high MMEs.
- i. <u>Patient KL</u> filled multiple prescriptions for oxymorphone carrying daily MMEs of 240.00 and prescriptions for oxycodone carrying daily MMEs of 135.00 at Carpenter from several different prescribers. Respondent did not know why patient KL was receiving prescriptions with such high MMEs.
- j. Patient JM filled a pattern of prescriptions over the review period, alternating filling oxycodone and promethazine with codeine at Carpenter and filling hydrocodone-acetaminophen and/or alprazolam at another pharmacy. Recently, patient JM began filling alprazolam, promethazine with codeine, and oxycodone prescriptions on the same day at Carpenter. Many of patient JM's oxycodone prescriptions carried daily MMEs of 180.00. Respondent did not know why patient JM needed such large amounts of promethazine

with codeine for a long period of time or why patient JM needed such high MME dosing.

k. Patient AP filled multiple prescriptions for promethazine with codeine, oxycodone, and zolpidem tartrate over the review period. In addition, patient AP filled several other opioid prescriptions at Carpenter over the review period. When asked, Respondent did not know why patient AP was using multiple prescribers or why he was traveling distances to come to Carpenter. Respondent also did not know why patient AP regularly needed promethazine with codeine for a long period of time and in such large quantities.

COUNT I

Respondent failed to maintain effective controls against diversion of controlled substances to other than legitimate and professionally recognized therapeutic, scientific, or industrial uses, contrary to MCL 333.7311(1)(e) and in violation of MCL 333.17768(2)(e).

COUNT II

Respondent dispensed controlled substances for other than legitimate or professionally recognized therapeutic, scientific, or industrial purposes, or outside the Respondent's scope of practice, contrary to MCL 333.7311(1)(g) and in violation of MCL 333.17768(2)(e).

COUNT III

Respondent dispensed controlled substances without good faith, contrary to MCL 333.7333(1) and in violation of MCL 333.17768(2)(e).

COUNT IV

Respondent failed to keep records and maintain inventories in conformance with the record-keeping and inventory requirements of federal law, contrary to MCL 333.7321 and in violation of MCL 333.17768(2)(e).

COUNT V

Respondent's conduct constitutes a violation of a general duty, consisting of negligence or failure to exercise due care, including negligent delegation to or supervision of employees or other individuals, or a condition, conduct, or practice that impairs, or may impair, the ability safely and skillfully to engage in the practice of the health profession in violation of MCL 333.16221(a).

COUNT VI

Respondent's conduct fails to conform to minimal standards of acceptable, prevailing practice for the health profession in violation of MCL 333.16221(b)(i).

COUNT VII

Respondent's conduct, as described above, evidences a failure to maintain not less than two current or revised pharmacy reference texts, contrary to Mich Admin Code, R 338.481(2), in violation of MCL 333.16221(h).

COUNT VIII

Respondent's conduct, as described above, evidences a failure to meet minimum housing requirements for the pharmacy, contrary to Mich Admin Code, R 338.482, in violation of MCL 333.16221(h).

COUNT IX

Respondent's conduct, as described above, evidences a failure to ensure the strength, quality, purity, and labelling of all the drugs and devices dispensed under a prescription, contrary to Mich Admin Code, R 338.490(1), in violation of MCL 333.16221(h).

COUNT X

Respondent's conduct, as described above, evidences a failure to properly display his license, contrary to MCL 333.16191(2), in violation of MCL 333.16221(h).

RESPONDENT IS NOTIFIED that, pursuant to MCL 333.16231(8), Respondent has 30 days from the date of receipt of this complaint to answer this complaint in writing and to show compliance with all lawful requirements for retention of the license. Respondent shall submit the response to the Bureau of Professional Licensing, Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs, P.O. Box 30670, Lansing, MI 48909.

Respondent's failure to submit an answer within 30 days is an admission of the allegations in this complaint. If Respondent fails to answer, the Department shall transmit this complaint directly to the Board's Disciplinary Subcommittee to impose a sanction pursuant to MCL 333.16231(9).

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF

LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS

Dated: <u>6/1/</u>, 2018

By:

Cheryl Wykoff Pezon, Directo

Bureau of Professional Licensing

S:\Drug Monitoring Section\Staff Folders\Prygoski.J\Ha, Hien Dien, R.Ph\Ha, Hien Dien, R.Ph., 149477 AC + OSS.jkp 6-6.docx